

Effect of various chemical preservatives on microbial and organolyptic properties of sweet cherry (*Prunus avium*) juice

¹ Sajid Hussain, ² Murtaza Ali, ³ Aysha Riaz, ⁴ Nisar Hussain, ⁵ Muhammad Mazahir, ⁶ Ysir Abbas

^{1,2} Department of Food Science and Technology, The Agriculture University Peshawar, Pakistan

^{3,4,5,6} Department of Food Science, Arid Agriculture University Rawalpindi, Pakistan

Abstract

This research work was carried out to check the to check the microbial activity and sensory evaluation of sweet cherry juice treated with different chemical preservatives and stored for three months at room temperature. The organolytical evaluation such as color, flavor and overall acceptability changed and decreased gradually during storage. The color score given by the panel of judges was decreased range from 7.98 to 5.56, the minimum fall off was noticed in CJ₃ (7.85)12.94% and highest in CJ₀ (5.63)39.66%. Reduction in score of flavor in cherry juice was found from 7.72 to 5.18, the lowest dropped off was noticed in CJ₃ (7.74)21.43% while highest CJ₀ (5.32)114.28%. Overall acceptability score of sweet cherry juice declined during three month of storage from 7.90 to 4.82, minimum reduction was found in CJ₃(7.58)17.65% and highest in CJ₀ (5.25)62.50%. Microbial result showed that the total plate count was increased during storage period from 2.60 to 43.40. Maximum increment was recorded in CJ₀ (160.22%) while lowest was found in CJ₃ (81.81%). The treatment CJ₀ had shown worse result under sensory consideration during storage. Treatment CJ₃ was found best in maintaining sensory attributes, nutrients content and microbial load as compare to other treatments. The treatment CJ₀ had shown worse result under sensory consideration during storage. Treatment CJ₃ was found best in maintaining sensory attributes, nutrients content and microbial load as compare to other treatments.

Keywords: Sweet Cherry juice, Microbial, Sensory, Skardu G.B

1. Introduction

Sweet cherry (*Prunus avium* L.) is the most delicious stone fruit and can serve as fresh and process into different juice and canned products and the best zone for the cultivation of this fruit is temperate area. According to the statistical data in Gilgit Baltistan the total area and cultivation of cherry fruit were 1302 hectares and 2,384 tons (Agri. Stat. Dptt. Gilgit Baltistan. 2011-2012) [1].

Attractive color, sugariness, bitterness, insistence, means of antioxidants and nutrients are the key uniqueness for cherry feature (Esti *et al.*, 2002; Usenik *et al.*, 2005; Gabriele *et al.*, 2013) [6, 15, 8]. For the soft drinks the most standard chemical preservatives are sorbate and sodium benzoate. Sofu and Naidu (2000) [13] revealed that sorbates is non chemical additives which used widly in different foods products similarly Varnam and Sutherland (1999) [16] discussed that mostly at low pH the sorbic acid acid efficiency attained its peck against microbial growth such as yeast and mould but sometime it works at pH 6.5. As food preservatives advantages of sodium, benzoate included low price, ease of incorporation into products, lack of color, off favor and antimicrobial activity. These are use at the rate of various concentrations 0.05 to 0.1% (Ihekoronye and Ngoddy, 1995) [10]. Bu keeping in view the above facts this experiment carried out to overcome the loses of sweet cherry fruits.

2. Materials and Methods

The cherry fruit juice were prepared and packed in polyethylene terephthalate bottles and stored at room temperature for analyse. Treatments

Following are the treatments

CJ₀ = Cherry juice without preservatives

CJ₁ = Cherry juice + 0.05% sodium benzoate + 0.1% citric acid

CJ₂ = Cherry juice + 0.05% potassium sorbate + 0.1% citric acid

CJ₃ = Cherry juice + 0.1% sodium benzoate + 0.1% citric acid

CJ₄ = Cherry juice + 0.1% potassium sorbate + 0.1% citric acid

2.1 Sensory Evaluation

All the samples of cherry juice were examined organolytically for color, flavour and overall acceptability by the method as reported by Larmond (1977) [11].

Microbial analysis

2.2 Total plate count

Total plate count was counted according to prescribed method of AOAC (2012) [3].

Statistical Analysis: All the data were analysed by using Complete Randomized Design describe by (Steel and Torrie, (1980).

All analytical parameters were tested in triplicates and the obtained data were calculated

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Sensory Evaluation

The sweet cherry samples were evaluated for sensory analysis such as color, flavor and overall acceptability. The sweet cherry juice samples were analyzed for color estimation at every one month interval during three months of storage at room temperature presented in Table-8. As early color of sweet cherry juice samples (CJ₀ to CJ₄) scored was 8.00, 8.10, 7.80, 8.50 and 7.50, which reduced up to 3.50, 6.10, 5.80, 7.40 and 5.00 during storage period. Table-8 demonstrates that the treatments and storage gap had significant ($p < 0.05$) affect on color and the

mean values were diminished from 7.98 to 5.56. Sample CJ₃ (7.85), ranked higher mean later than sample CJ₁ (7.05) at the same time as samples CJ₀ (5.63) ranked lowest mean value followed by CJ₄ (6.10). Large reduction of color was happened in sample CJ₀ (39.66%) followed by CJ₄ (33.33%) while the lowest was revealed in sample CJ₃ (12.94%) nearby CJ₁ (24.69%) (Table. 1). The humiliation in color (6.77 to 4.8) was evidenced in guava during the research effort of Ayub *et al.* (2005) [4]. Cherry juice color was affected by storage intervals and treatments significantly. Color may be affected by the packaging material (Irwandi *et al.*, 1998) additionally by the presence of oxygen and non- enzymatic reaction during storage (Che-Man and Sanny, 1996) [5].

Flavor of any food substance is essentially consisting of taste and aroma. It is seriously manipulated by the storage period. To learn this effect sweet cherry juice samples were assessed for flavor. The flavor of sweet cherry juice was declined steadily at room temperature. At early day judges had marked for flavor to treatments (CJ₀ to CJ₄) from 7.50, 7.60, 7.80, 8.50 and 5.50 which slowly diminished to 3.50, 5.00, 5.10, 7.00 and 5.00. The significant (p<0.05) diminished in mean score of flavor throughout the storage tenure from 7.72 to 5.18. The result showed that highest mean value of flavor was found in treatment CJ₃ (7.74), subsequent to treatment CJ₂ (6.60) while treatment CJ₀ (5.32) obtained lowest mean marked for flavor next with CJ₄ (5.92). Extreme falling was found out in treatment CJ₀ (114.28%) later than CJ₄ (83.33%) and lowest was recorded in treatment CJ₃ (21.43%) go after CJ₁ (52.00%) (Table.2).The storage periods and treatment was significantly (p < 0.05) affected on sweet cherry juice. Drops off of flavor take placed due to the enhanced in furfural level and declined in vitamin C. In (1981) Shimoda and Osjima and Hashmi *et al.* (2007) calculated that consequence of storage resulted in fall off of flavor in mango juice. Ayub *et al.* (2005) [4] also concluded that reduction in flavor (5.04 to 3.14) occurred in preservation of guava slice at storage period.

The sweet cherry juice treated with different chemical preservatives stored at room temperature was analyzed for overall acceptability. During three month of storage overall acceptability were gradually decreased. Cherry juice samples (CJ₀ to CJ₄) at early days was marked by judges as 8.00, 7.80, 7.70, 8.50 and 6.10 that afterward declined up to 3.00, 5.40, 4.50, 7.00 and 4.2 during storage. For the cherry juice the mean value of overall acceptability was declined significantly (p < 0.05) from 7.90 to 4.82. Results had presented in Table.3. The treatment CJ₃ (7.58) illustrated maximum mean value go after treatment CJ₁ (6.63), while treatment CJ₀ (5.25) presented the lowest mean value go after the treatment CJ₄ (5.80). Prominent reduction was renowned in CJ₀ (60.50%) as contrast to CJ₄ (44.00%) although smallest reduction got in treatment CJ₃ (17.65%) followed by CJ₁ (30.77%) (Table-3).From the above outcome it has been accomplished with the aim of the overall acceptability of cherry juice was affected significantly (p < 0.05) by storage gaps and treatments. In (Che-Man and Sanny 1996) [5] reported that the product with overall acceptability mean score greater than 4 was acceptable.

3.2 Microbial Analysis

Total Plate Count.

Juice sample were analyzed at every 30 days of interval during three month of storage. Initially total plate count in treatments CJ₀ to CJ₄ were 3.00, 2.00, 3.00, 2.00 and 3.00 which increased

up to 108, 20, 34, 15 and 40 CFU/ml during storage. Mean values increased for total plate count from 2.60 to 43.4 significantly (p<0.05) during storage. Highest mean values was observed in CJ₀ (38.25) nearby CJ₄ (17.25) while lowest mean value was found in CJ₃ (6.00) followed by CJ₁ (9.75). Increment in term of percentage, minimum was recorded in CJ₃ (81.81%) followed by CJ₁ (90%) and at the same time maximum was noted in CJ₀ (160%) next with CJ₄ (92.25 %). (Table-4). Storage interval and the treatments having chemical preservatives had significant (p<0.05) impact on total plate count of sweet cherry juice during 90 days of storage. Analysis was prepared on the chosen plate comprising countable number of colonies ranging from 25-250 or 30-300 CFU/ml. TPC was calculated by utilizing standard methods Andrew-1992. Our results were accordance with manual of food quality control 4.rew.1 and microbiological analysis F.A.O Rome 1992. Our results are also accordance with the finding of Ayub *et al.* 2005 [4].

Table 1: Effect of chemical preservatives and storage period on color of sweet cherry juice

Treatments	Storage Interval (30 days)				% Decrease	Means
	Initial	30	60	90		
CJ ₀	8.00	6.63	4.40	3.50	39.66	5.63d
CJ ₁	8.10	7.40	6.60	6.10	24.69	7.05b
CJ ₂	7.80	7.20	6.40	5.80	25.64	6.80b
CJ ₃	8.50	8.00	7.50	7.40	12.94	7.85a
CJ ₄	7.50	6.40	5.50	5.00	33.33	6.10c
Means	7.98a	7.12b	6.07c	5.56d		

Mean values followed by different small letters are significantly (P<0.05) different from each other

Table 2: Effect of chemical preservatives and storage period on flavour of sweet cherry juice

Treatments	Storage Interval (30 days)				% Decrease	Means
	Initial	30	60	90		
CJ ₀	7.50	5.80	4.50	3.50	114.28	5.32c
CJ ₁	7.60	6.70	5.80	5.30	43.39	6.15b
CJ ₂	7.80	7.00	6.50	5.10	52.94	6.60b
CJ ₃	8.50	7.75	7.70	7.00	21.42	7.74a
CJ ₄	7.20	6.03	5.45	5.00	44.00	5.92d
Means	7.72a	6.65b	5.99c	5.18d		

Mean values followed by different small letters are significantly (P<0.05) different from each other

Table 3: Effect of chemical preservatives and storage period overall acceptability of sweet cherry juice

Treatments	Storage Interval (30 days)				% Decrease	Means
	Initial	30	60	90		
CJ ₀	8.00	5.50	4.50	3.00	62.50	5.25c
CJ ₁	7.80	7.00	6.30	5.40	30.77	6.63b
CJ ₂	7.70	6.50	5.80	4.50	41.56	6.13b
CJ ₃	8.50	7.50	7.30	7.00	17.65	7.58a
CJ ₄	7.50	6.20	5.30	4.20	44.00	5.80d
Means	7.90a	6.54b	5.84c	4.82d		

Mean values followed by different small letters are significantly (P<0.05) different from each other

Table 4: Effect of chemical preservatives and storage period on total plate count cfu/ml of sweet cherry juice

Treatments	Storage Interval (30 days)				% Decrease	Means
	Initial	30	60	90		
CJ ₀	3.00	10.0	32.0	108	160.22	38.25a
CJ ₁	2.00	6.00	11.0	20.0	90.00	9.75b
CJ ₂	3.00	7.00	15.0	34.0	91.17	14.25b
CJ ₃	2.00	4.00	8.00	15.0	81.81	6.00e
CJ ₄	3.00	8.00	18.0	40.0	92.10	17.25b
Means	2.60a	7.00b	16.8c	43.4d		

Mean values followed by different small letters are significantly ($P < 0.05$) different from each other

4. Conclusion

The overall results shows that potassium sorbate and sodium benzoate had significant ($p < 0.05$) impact on cherry juice microbiologically and organolytically. Treatment CJ₃ that contained 0.1% sodium benzoate + 0.1% citric acid had shown the best result maintaining maximum quality followed by CJ₁, CJ₂ and CJ₄ on the other hand, CJ₀ (control) sweet cherry juice without preservative had shown worse results under the sensory acceptability grade. The result showed that sodium benzoate had excellence effect on keeping maximum quality of sweet cherry juice as compare to potassium sorbate.

5. Acknowledgments

I want to acknowledge that Murtaza Ali and Aysha Riaz designed and help me to conduct this research work. Nisar Hussain, Muhammad Mazahir and Ysir Abbas help me to writing of this article.

6. References

1. Agriculture, Stat. Departmet of Agriculture Gilgit Baltistan. 2011-2012.
2. Andrew. Manual of food quality control. Microbiological analysis F.A.O Rome. 1992; 4(1).
3. AOAC. Association of Official and Analytical Chemists. Official methods of analysis Washington, D.C. 2012.
4. Ayub M, Zeb A, Ullah J, Khattak MA. Effect of non-nutritive sweeteners, chemical preservatives and antioxidants on microbial and sensory characteristic of dehydrated guava. J Sc. and Tech. Univ. Peshawar. 2005; 29(1).
5. Che-Man YB, Sanny MM. Stability of jackfruit in different packaging materials. Asian Food of J. 1996; 11(4):163-168.
6. Esti M, Cinquanta L, Sinesio F, Moneta E, Mateo MD. Physicochemical and sensory fruit characteristics of two sweet cherry cultivars after cool storage. Food Chem. 2002; 76:399-405.
7. Food and Agriculture (FAO). Statistical data. Food and Agriculture Organization of the UN, Rome. 1992.
8. Gabriele B, Continella A, Gentile A, Amenta M, Fabroni S, Rapisarda P. Fruit quality and bioactive compounds relevant to human health of sweet cherry (*Prunus avium* L.) cultivars grown in Italy. Food Chem. 2013; 140:630-638.
9. Hashmi MS, Alam S, Riaz A, Shah AS. Studies on microbial and sensory quality of mango pulp storage with chemical preservatives. Pk. J Nutri. 2007; 6(1):85-88.
10. Ihekoronye A, Ngoddy PO. Integrated Food Science and Technology for the Tropics. Macmillan Educational. 1995, 121-147.

11. Larmond E. Laboratory methods of survey evaluation of food publication in Canada. Deptt. of Agri. Ottawa. 1977; 30:78-88
12. Shimoda M, Osajima Y. Studies on off flavor formed during storage of satsuma madrin juice. Pak J Sci Ind Res. 1981. 18:179-183.
13. Sofos JN, Naidu AS. Sorbic acid. In Natural Food Antimicrobial Systems. 2000, 637-659.
14. Steel RGD, Torrie JH. Principles and procedures of statistics. Mc. Graw Hill Pub.Co. Inc. New York. 1997.
15. Usenik V, Kastelec D, Stampar F. Physicochemical changes of sweet cherry fruits related to application of gibberalic acid. Food Chem. 2005; 90:663-671.
16. Varnam AH, Sutherland JP. Food Products Series. Technology, Chemistry and Microbiology. Aspen Publication. 1999; 2:96-102.